The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was \underline{not} written for publication in a law journal and is \underline{not} binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte KEN HASSEN

Application 10/073,978

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

MAILED

FEB 1 6 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Before HARKCOM, <u>Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and WILLIAM F. SMITH and SCHEINER, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.

Per curiam.

On April 29, 2003, appellant filed a Notice of Appeal. On January 26, 2005, appellant filed a communication requesting express abandonment of this application.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed.

Application 10/073,978

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary V. Harkoom, Acting Chief) Administrative Patent Judge

William F. Smith
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT

APPEALS AND

INTERFERENCES

Toni R. Scheiner

Administrative Patent Judge

Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, PC 1940 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314

dem